



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,332	11/14/2001	Luke E. Girard	42390.P12365X	6195
7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER	
John P. Ward			WU, XIAO MIN	
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2674	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/002,332	GIRARD, LUKE E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	XIAO M. WU	2674 ·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 h	<u>March 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6,8,9,11-13 and 15 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6,8,9,11-13 and 15 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers .		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-9, 11-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan et al. (US Patent No. 5,815,126) in view of Tamura et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0055215) and Estevez et al. (Pub. No.US 2003/0017846).

As to claims 1, 8, 12, Fan discloses a headset (see Figs. 34A-C) comprising: a receiver (720, Fig. 35) to receive a display command (770, Fig. 35) through a wireless link (col. 16, lines 25-29 and col. 17, 4-15); and a processor (712, Fig. 35) coupled to render an image according to the display command. Fan discloses a computer-readable medium (CPU 712, Fig. 35) having stored thereon a set of instructions to translate instructions, the set of instructions, which when executed by a processor (712), cause the processor to perform a method comprising: a headset (see Figs. 34A-C) receiver (720. Fig. 35) receiving a display command (770, Fig. 35) through a wireless link (col. 16, lines 25-29 and col. 17, 4-15); and a processor (712, Fig. 35) for processing the display command and displaying an image according to the display command.

It is noted that Fan dose not specifically discloses the receiver receiving a compressed bitmap file for a video frame and the processor to decompress the bitmap file for the video frame. Tamura is cited to teach a wireless display device (16) which can receive a display command through a wireless Bluetooth link (see pp [0139]) including receiving a compressed

Art Unit: 2674

video data (21) and decompressed (30) the compressed video data for the display (see Figs. 2 and 3; and pp [0109-0116], pp [0138-0139] and [0146]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Fan with the features of receiving compressed video data and decompressed the video data as taught by Tamura so that the user can receive and display MPEG standard.

Furthermore, it is noted that both Fan and Tamura does not specifically disclose that the video data is a bitmap file. Estevez is cited to teach a wireless display device similar to Fan and Tamura. Estevez teaches that the display receives the compressed bitmap data and decompresses the bit-map data (page 1, pp0020). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Fan and Tamura with the transmission of the bit-map data as taught by Estevez so as to compressing, decompressing and displaying the video image in real-time (page 1, pp0005, 0006).

As to claim 2, Fan discloses the headset includes a monocular display (1102', Fig. 34A) to display the image.

As to claim 3, Fan discloses the headset receives the display command from a server to change an image displayed on the monocular display. For example, as shown in Fig. 37, the commander in the fire truck can send the building map information to the firefighter and display on the monocular display (see col. 37, line 36 to col. 18, line 10).

As to claim 6, 11, and 15, Tamura discloses receiving the compressed bitmap file in accordance with Motion Pictures Experts Group (MPEP) protocol (see pp [0029]).

As to claim 9 and 13, Fan discloses including a headset mounted monocular display (1102', Fig. 34A) displaying the image.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,332

Art Unit: 2674

Response to Arguments

Page 4

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/31/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues both Fan and Tamura do discloses a wireless Bluetooth link including to receive a compressed bitmap file for a video frame. This argument is not persuasive because another prior art reference to Estevez (newly applied in the previous office action) clearly teaches that the display receives the compressed bitmap data and decompresses the bitmap data (page 1, pp0020). Furthermore, applicant argues that the Bluetooth link in Tampura does not disclose nor suggest using a wireless Bluetooth link to transfer video data. This argument is not persuasive because Tamura clearly discloses that the electronic equipment 50 includes an operation input section 90 to which operation information is input by operating keys, and a wireless operation section 92 for performing wireless operation by Bluetooth which is short distance wireless communications technology (see pp[0139]). Tamura further discloses that the video data (moving image) can be received and transmitted through the antenna. Therefore, the wireless operation unit 92 and the antenna and the modulator/demodulator all together perform the wireless receiving/transmitting the video data. It is believed that the combination of Fan, Tamura and Estevez provide all the limitations as required in claims.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/002,332

Art Unit: 2674

. 2674

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 5

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571 272-7761. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, PATRICK EDOUARD, can be reached on 571 272-7603. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

X.W.

June 15, 2005

XIAO M. WU

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2674